

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/723,951	11/26/2003	Paul Higginbotham	06316D2 USA	9413
23543	7590 06/10/2004		EXAMINER	
AIR PRODUCTS AND CHEMICALS, INC. PATENT DEPARTMENT			DOERRLER, WILLIAM CHARLES	
	TON BOULEVARD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALLENTOW	N, PA 181951501		3744	

DATE MAILED: 06/10/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	111			
	10/723,951	HIGGINBOTHAM ET AL)			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	William C Doerrler	3744				
The MAILING DATE of this communic Period for Reply	cation appears on the cover sheet w	with the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum states are specified above, the maximum states are specified above, the maximum states are specified above. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply and a very reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a unication.) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of the lutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC will, by statute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communic ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2	b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition f	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practic	e under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the app	olication.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 5-7</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4 and 8</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ion and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 November</u>	2003 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)[\square objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objec	tion to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	·					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-15	2.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim f a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	or foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority of						
	documents have been received in					
	of the priority documents have bee	n received in this National Stage)			
application from the Internatior * See the attached detailed Office action	•	nt received				
See the attached detailed Office action	Tion a list of the certified copies no	n received.				
Advantage (4.)						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT	O-948) Paper No	o(s)/Mail Date				
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>11-26-2003</u>. 	PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of 6) Other: _	f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
	, —					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: In line 14 of the claim "when said mixture feed is separated by selective adsorption" is confusing since there is no adsorption step claimed. Is there an adsorption step? Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States

Claims 1,3,5 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cheung '006.

Cheung shows a krypton/xenon concentration system which pressurizes liquid oxygen (at pump 33) an vaporizes most of the feed (which passes through line 43). The vapor which passes through the top of column 53 becomes an indirect heat transfer fluid which is condensed and returned to the top of column 35 through line 49. Column 1 lines 28-35 state that the liquid oxygen used has approximately five times the krypton and xenon concentration of the feed air.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Art Unit: 3744

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 2 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cheung in view of Dennis.

Cheung discloses applicants' basic inventive concept, a krypton/xenon recovery device which uses a heat exchanger to derive krypton/xenon from a vaporized LOX stream, substantially as claimed with the exception of placing an indirect heat exchanger in the upper portion of the heat exchanger. Dennis's 84 shows this feature to be old in the krypton/xenon recovery art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicants' invention from the teaching of Dennis to modify the recovery system of Cheung by placing the indirect heat exchanger at the top of the separation heat exchanger to provide direct reflux to the lower portion.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 4 and 8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Lochner and Agrawal show krypton/xenon separation towers with indirect heat exchangers.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William C Doerrler whose telephone number is (703) 308-0696. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 6:30-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Denise Esquivel can be reached on (703) 308-2597. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

William C Doerrler

Art Unit: 3744

Primary Examiner Art Unit 3744

WCD